

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

INCOME TAX REFERENCE No 315 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE C.K.THAKKER and  
MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----  
COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX

Versus

MANORAMA SARABHAI (L.3) DT

-----  
Appearance:

MR MANISH R BHATT for Petitioner  
MR RK PATEL for Respondent No. 1

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE C.K.THAKKER and  
MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 30/07/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT (Per C.K.Thakkar,J.):

The following question is referred for the opinion of this Court:-

"Whether the Appellate Tribunal is right in law  
and on facts in holding that there was no

transf

was no taxable capital gains?"

The matter was decided in favour of assessee in view of the earlier decision by the authorities, including the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, and the concession made before the Tribunal. In fact, in COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX v. LEENA SARABHAI (N.CH.), 221 ITR 520, when the matter reached this Court, in view of peculiar facts and circumstances, the question was left unanswered. In these circumstances, this reference also stands disposed of unanswered. In the facts and circumstances, no order as to costs.

\*\*\*